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Introduction

In the social world, the need for in-depth sociological analysis of messages is undeniable. Management literature indicates that psychological traits play a key role in shaping the strategy and performance of firms through their impact on managerial risk taking. Studying the effects of these traits should therefore have a high priority in management studies. However, the issue of decreasing response rates of executives impairs survey-based research. In order to mitigate that problem, the authors attempted to answer the question: “How to study the individual characteristics of the core decision makers in firms, bearing in mind the great difficulties with accessing them due to the decreasing response rates in executive surveys?”. The article proposes, as one of the possible solutions to this problem, the use of content analysis, which is successfully applied in sociology.

1 This article has been developed with the funding from National Science Centre, Poland – project no. 2017/25/N/HS4/02037.
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or how they feel\textsuperscript{6}. And the new technologies that create and archive digital traces offer researchers a novel, large-scale data which reflects people’s actual behaviors that can be rapidly collected and analyzed by new tools\textsuperscript{7}.

This paper aims to present the potential of content analysis as an effective and cutting-edge method to study the psychological traits of firms’ hard-to-reach core decision makers. In order to do that, the authors conducted a systematic literature review of articles from multiple databases (i.e. Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar), limited to the period of 2009–2019. The analysis targeted 13 management articles that employed content analysis as the method to assess psychological traits of individual managers (Table 2).

**Characteristics of content analysis**

Content analysis as a methodological tool firstly appeared in the literature in the early 1940s\textsuperscript{8}. In its early stage, it focused on the identification of manifested content. The technique was later expanded to include the domain of qualitative methods, focusing on both manifested and latent content\textsuperscript{9}. Nowadays, a wide range of theoretical frameworks, methods, and analytical techniques have been labeled content analysis. It is an entire class of methods at the intersection of the qualitative and quantitative traditions\textsuperscript{10}, recognized for its value in uncovering replicable and valid inferences from a body of text – i.e., words, phrases, and language used by individuals in speeches, organizational narratives or other communication media\textsuperscript{11}.

\begin{thebibliography}{11}
\bibitem{9} The content is manifested, when the analysis focuses on easily observable meanings in a body of text. The content is latent, when the analysis focuses of the underlying meanings of texts – A. Gaur, M. Kumar, *A systematic approach to conducting review studies: An assessment of content analysis in 25 years of IB research*, “Journal of World Business” 2018, vol. 53, pp. 280–289.
\end{thebibliography}
According to the classical definition by Bernard Berelson, from 1952, content analysis is a research technique for the objective, systematic and quantitative description of explicit content of messages. The most questionable aspect of this definition is the assumption that the message’s meaning is always consistent with the intentions of the sender and free from understatements and overinterpretation. It is postulated that the researcher should have a certain leeway to draw conclusions based on the examined material. Therefore, one can conclude not only from what was written in the texts, but also from what was left unsaid\(^\text{12}\).

There are four stages of content analysis: data collection, coding, analysis, and interpretation of coded content. In the first, the data collection stage, researchers select their data sources and identify sampling criteria. To select valid samples, it is essential for researchers to understand the relationships between source databases, sample characteristics, and research questions. In the second stage, the collected textual data are coded into different categories at various levels (recording units): words, phrases, sentences, paragraphs, or themes. In order to develop valid coding schemes, researchers use the 8-step Weber Protocol: (1) Definition of the recording units; (2) Definition of the coding categories; (3) Test of coding on a sample of text; (4) Assessment of the accuracy and reliability of the sample coding; (5) Revision of the coding rules; (6) Return to Step 3 until sufficient reliability is achieved; (7) Coding of all the text; (8) Assessment of the achieved reliability or accuracy. In the third stage, which is analysis of content, researchers can use various formats to present their observations. The vast majority of research relied on simple counts. The fourth and final stage is the interpretation of results within the theoretical framework, depending on the research purpose: measurement, description, or inference\(^\text{13}\).

Content analysis has a number of methodological advantages over other research methods. Foremost to management research, it provides a replicable methodology to access deep individual structures. As such, content analysis is applicable to those important but difficult-to-study issues of interest to management researchers, such as managerial cognition\(^\text{14}\). This is due to its unobtrusive nature\(^\text{15}\). Being unobtrusive is particularly relevant to the study of senior executives, as access to information from this source is often a serious issue. Moreover, because of the availability of comparable corporate information over a certain timeframe (such as annual reports or trade magazines) longitudinal research designs of these managers can be implemented\(^\text{16}\).
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Given recent advances in computer-aided techniques, content analysis can cope with large volumes of unstructured data. Computer-aided text analysis (CATA) is a form of content analysis that enables the measurement of constructs by processing text into quantitative data based on the frequency of words. CATA has been used to analyze large sets of qualitative data at high speed that have allowed scholars to answer questions surrounding behaviors and motivations of individuals. These programs are constructed in a way to enable and facilitate the work with the tested material by cataloging, organizing, as well as providing advanced search capabilities. They improve exploration, analysis and presentation of synthesized data

Qualitative analysis programs differ not only in terms of the type of functions available, but also in the scope of activities within the same functions and how to access certain data and aspects of analysis. Depending on the type of analytical activities, four types of programs can be distinguished that support: (1) arithmetic (quantitative) analysis, (2) collection and editing of textual data, (3) organization and management of various types of data, and (4) support of theory creation process. When choosing the software, researcher must be aware of what the research goal is, the type of data or the method used, as well as the method of analysis. Examples of this software are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Types of computer programs for qualitative data analysis (CATA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of programs</th>
<th>Names of programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic (quantitative) analysis</td>
<td>MAX, Tabletop, Spad.t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection and editing of textual data</td>
<td>askSam, Folio Views, MAX, Tabletop, HyperQual2, QSR N6 (NUD*.IST), Martin, QUALPRO, The Ethnograph, Kwalitan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and management of various types of data</td>
<td>askSam, HyperQual2, FolioViews, Orbis, MAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of theory creation process</td>
<td>NUD*IST, ATLAS.ti, MECA, MetaDesign, SemNet, QCA, ETHNO, Inspiration, for hypothesis testing; HyperRESEARCH, AQUAD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Content analysis in management research

The past two decades have seen an “increasing scholarly interest in qualitative methodologies to study complex business phenomena, by borrowing and adapting from more established disciplines”\(^{20}\). Recent literature using content analysis has made particularly worthy contributions in the area of managerial and organizational cognition\(^{21}\), a topic which goes back at least to Kets de Vries and colleagues\(^{22}\). The most important leadership function in any firm is performed by the CEO\(^{23}\), who is responsible for setting up the strategy\(^{24}\), with CEO communication as the core managerial cognitive capability that underpins the firm-level outcomes\(^{25}\).

The empirical literature in management has been long studying the effect of CEO communication on firm-level outcomes\(^{26}\). However, a persistent challenge for research on top executives has been the limited ability to obtain valid and reliable measures of their personality traits. The challenges associated with surveying CEOs have limited both the number and sample sizes of studies. As an alternative to using the traditional ways, some scholars have recently used word-count software to identify keywords in texts attributed to CEOs\(^{27}\).

According to Duriau, Reger, and Pfarrer\(^{28}\), annual reports are the most frequently used sources of content analysis in management research. They are the primary materials to study the interaction of firms with their environment, having several
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advantages over other sources of corporate information to study cognitive phenomena. These advantages are due to their unobtrusive and longitudinal character. As can be seen in Table 2, their predominance in management studies in the last decade has continued, particularly one outlet, i.e. shareholder letters.

CEO letters are corporate narratives with high diversity in vocabulary and lexical variety, providing considerable opportunity to direct attention, establish focus on prevalent events and affect external perception. In these letters, the CEO can comment on company actions, events, performance and outcomes. They are full of linguistic devices that hold interactional cues of both rational and affective appeal that reflect CEO’s purposive editorializing.

Table 2. Management studies from 2009–2019, utilizing content analysis as the method to assess psychological traits of individual managers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source of content analysis</th>
<th>Psychological traits assessed with content analysis (variables)</th>
<th>Concluding remarks regarding variables assessed with content analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Behr and Fehre</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO CSQ(^a) (independent)</td>
<td>CSQ does not increase continuously over time but it alters after a first, short, stage of incumbency due to “newness” in the CEO position and does not increase significantly in later stages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Buyl, Boone, and Wade</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO narcissism (independent)</td>
<td>The combination of CEO narcissism and specific CG(^b) practices leads towards (excessive) risk-taking; thus, an organization’s CG policies can make a real difference in reining in narcissistic CEOs. Recovery after the September 2008 collapse was slower in banks with a more narcissistic CEO, and this effect was partially mediated by banks pre-shock riskiness of policies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source of content analysis</th>
<th>Psychological traits assessed with content analysis (variables)</th>
<th>Concluding remarks regarding variables assessed with content analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chang, Lee, and Oh</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO regulatory focus (independent)</td>
<td>Promotional CEOs (CEOs with predominant promotion focus) are more engaged in CSR even when the industry-level and firm-level dynamisms are high. CEOs with a promotion focus seem to be more active in stakeholder management, whereas CEOs with a prevention focus may not make as much of a difference in this regard as promotional CEOs. CEO regulatory focus interacts with industry-level and firm-level dynamisms to affect CSR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Eggers and Kaplan</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO attention (independent)</td>
<td>Managerial cognition is associated with differences in the timing of entry into a new product market. The effect of CEOs cognition is context-specific. It differs depending on the focus of their attention, the level of organizational orientation, and whether firms possess greater industry-related capabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gamache, McNamara, Mannor, and Johnson</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO regulatory focus (independent)</td>
<td>CEO regulatory focus impacts both the quantity and scale of acquisitions undertaken by a firm. Relationships between CEOs with predominant promotion focus and both quantity and scale of acquisitions undertaken by a firm are moderated by stock option pay.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source of content analysis</th>
<th>Psychological traits assessed with content analysis (variables)</th>
<th>Concluding remarks regarding variables assessed with content analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gamache and McNamara</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO past focus (moderating); CEO future focus (moderating)</td>
<td>CEO temporal focus (disposition that reflects the degree to which individual attention is directed toward the past, present, and future) shapes which CEOs will be influenced by media reactions. A CEOs’ propensity to be influenced by stock market reactions is not moderated by their temporal focus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kashmiri, Gala, and Nicol</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO regulatory focus (independent)</td>
<td>Firms whose CEOs are predominantly promotion-focused tend to have higher levels of advertising and R&amp;D intensities. On the other hand, firms led by such CEOs are also more likely to get involved in marketing controversies. The impact of a CEO’s regulatory focus is, on the whole, strengthened when the CEO has high power and low stock option-compensation ratio, and when the firm operates under high environmental dynamism. CEO power does not moderate the impact of CEO regulatory focus on a firm’s advertising intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Keil, Maula, and Syrigos</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO entrepreneurial orientation (independent)</td>
<td>CEO entrepreneurial orientation enhances firm value creation and this positive effect is reduced when CEOs are entrenched (1) due to CG provisions that protect them from the majority will of shareholders, (2) due to substantial ownership that provides them with too much decision-making power, or (3) due to substantial ownership held by the CEO’s family.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Source of content analysis</td>
<td>Psychological traits assessed with content analysis (variables)</td>
<td>Concluding remarks regarding variables assessed with content analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Knust</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO regulatory focus (independent)</td>
<td>The higher the intensity of change, the organization is actually executing, the higher the promotion focus of CEOs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Malhotra, Reus, Zhu, and Roelofsen</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Text spoken by a CEO in response to questions in Q&amp;A segment of quarterly earnings conference calls with financial analysts</td>
<td>CEO Big Five – extraversion (independent)</td>
<td>Extraverted CEOs are more likely to engage in acquisitions, and to conduct larger ones, than other CEOs and these effects are partially explained by their greater representation on boards of other firms. The acquisitive nature of extraverted CEOs reveals itself particularly in so-called “weaker” situations, in which CEOs enjoy considerable discretion to behave in ways akin to their personality traits. Extraverted CEOs are more likely than other CEOs to succeed in M&amp;A, as reflected by stronger abnormal returns following acquisition announcements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>McClelland, Liang, and Barker</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO CSQ (independent)</td>
<td>In high-discretion industries, firms whose CEOs are committed to the status quo suffer future financial and market performance declines compared with their competitors, whereas such performance deterioration does not occur in low-discretion environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nadkarni and Chen</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Shareholder letters; press releases; speeches; interview transcripts</td>
<td>CEO temporal focus (independent)</td>
<td>In stable environments, new products are introduced faster in firms headed by CEOs with high past focus, high present focus, and low future focus. In dynamic environments, new products are introduced faster in firms headed by CEOs with low past focus, high present focus, and high future focus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source of content analysis</th>
<th>Psychological traits assessed with content analysis (variables)</th>
<th>Concluding remarks regarding variables assessed with content analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Patelli and Pedrini</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Shareholder letters</td>
<td>CEO optimism (independent)</td>
<td>An optimistic tone in shareholder letters is congruent with both past and future performance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[a\] CSQ – commitment to the status quo; \[b\] CG – corporate governance; \[c\] CSR – corporate social responsibility; \[d\] R&D – research and development; \[e\] M&A – mergers and acquisitions.

**Source:** own work.

## Conclusions

This paper identifies various methodological approaches of collecting data unobtrusively from executive written communication, showing the possible way for management scientists to bypass the fundamental limitations of collecting psychological data from primary sources. The findings from reviewed studies strongly support the notion that the psychological traits of CEOs, as core decision makers, impacts a firms’ strategy and performance indicators, and that these traits can be effectively assessed with content analysis. They also point at shareholder letters as a relevant source of such assessment due to their longitudinal availability for a large sample of firms.

Content analysis is, however, not without its flaws. When done entirely by hand it is time-consuming\(^{31}\). On the other hand, the main objection regarding the use of CATA software in content analysis is its rigidity, which subordinates the analysis to solutions implemented by the tool developers. Thus, it is pointed out that the computer program narrows the field of researcher’s activity, which is contrary to the spirit of qualitative research methodology\(^{32}\).

Annual reports have also been criticized in managerial-cognition research. Some researchers say that shareholder letters may be the result of Public Relations activities, and therefore may be not come from the actual managers\(^{33}\). Even if this is true in some cases, CEOs spend extensive time outlining the majority of the

\(^{31}\) K. Krippendorf, *Content analysis*...

\(^{32}\) J. Niedbalski, *Komputerowe wspomaganie analizy*...

content, making extensive corrections and adding their slant\textsuperscript{34}. This is because whoever’s signature is on the document is responsible for its content in a legal sense before any financial supervision authority and, in a social sense, to the many stakeholders\textsuperscript{35}. There are numerous studies that have adopted content analysis of shareholder letters and confirmed the validity of this research method\textsuperscript{36}. Any criticism of this approach must take into account the undoubted advantage in studying the characteristics of the psychology of CEOs, in that it provides a discrete and consistent annual measure for long-term analysis\textsuperscript{37}. Although this process is not without its flaws, achieving an approximate description of CEO is better than employing those methods with a meagre response rates.

There is also the question of whether the findings are applicable in other than a predominantly U.S. cultural context\textsuperscript{38}. Some scholars have suggested that cultural differences among CEOs can impact shareholder letters. For example, Huang and Rose found that the letters of Western CEO tend to use more credibility and affective appeals, while Chinese CEOs’ letters are based more on rational appeals\textsuperscript{39}. On the other hand, Hooghiemstra found that U.S. and Japanese CEOs’ letters do not vary in the communication of good news\textsuperscript{40}. Another study by Conaway and Wardrope found that the same strategic characteristics in the letters of CEOs existed across both Latin American and U.S. samples\textsuperscript{41}. Therefore, the authors’ preliminary analysis of the literature does not indicate any significant premises for restrictions on the use of the method in different cultural contexts.

\textsuperscript{34} E.H. Bowman, \textit{Content analysis…}
Due to the abovementioned limitations, future research should both adopt richer conceptual frameworks, combining content analysis with ethnographic or cultural approaches, and also incorporate a wide variety of external and internal documents, other than shareholder letters\textsuperscript{42}. Another promising avenue for future research is broadening the content analytic approach. This can be done by triangulating the alternative methodological approaches in order to study both verbal and nonverbal CEO communication\textsuperscript{43}, as well as written texts\textsuperscript{44}.
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Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present the potential of content analysis as a method to study the psychological traits of firms’ core decision makers. Research shows that these traits play a fundamental role in shaping corporate strategy and performance. The paper is theoretical, explaining the essence, origin and advantages of the method, indicating the application examples in sociology with an emphasis on the increasingly frequent use in management studies. It also identifies various methodological approaches of collecting data from written communication, showing the possible ways for scientists to bypass accessibility limitations to primary sources. The analysis of results shows a high validity of linguistic techniques used for assessing the antecedent psychological traits of core decision makers.
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