

Elżbieta Wagner-Bojakowska

REMARKS ON CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES  
 OF MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and let  $I \subset \mathcal{S}$  be a proper  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets. In this note there is considered a notion of the sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  which satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to the function  $f$ . This condition is equivalent to the convergence of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  to  $f$   $I$ -a.e. (Theorem 1). There is proved (Theorem 2) that if  $\phi_n(x) = \sup_{i>n} |f_i(x) - f(x)|$ , then the sequence  $\{\phi_n\}$  converges to zero with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $I$  if and only if the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and let  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{S}$  be a proper  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets. Let  $f, f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions on  $X$ . Put

$$E_n(\alpha) = \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_i(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\}$$

for  $\alpha > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is evident that the sets  $E_n(\alpha)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$  and if  $m \leq n$  then  $E_n(\alpha) \subset E_m(\alpha)$ . Obviously, if  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  then  $E_n(\beta) \subset E_n(\alpha)$ .

DEFINITION 1 (see [1]). We shall say that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to the  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  if and only if

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$$

for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

Clearly, the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$  if and only if  $\limsup_n \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{J}$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

DEFINITION 2 (see [4]). We shall say that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions converges to the  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  in the sense of Egoroff if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{E_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of sets belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $X - \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m \in \mathcal{J}$  and for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\{f_n|_{E_m}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to  $f|_{E_m}$ .

We shall say that some property holds  $\mathcal{J}$ -almost everywhere (in abbr.  $\mathcal{J}$ -a.e.) if the set of points which do not have this property belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. If the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions converges to the  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  in the sense of Egoroff, then  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ .

Proof. From the assumption it follows that there exists a sequence  $\{E_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable sets such that  $X - \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m \in \mathcal{J}$  and for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\{f_n|_{E_m}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to  $f|_{E_m}$ . Then for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a natural number  $n(\alpha, m)$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \alpha$  for every  $n \geq n(\alpha, m)$  and for all  $x \in E_m$ . Hence for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $n(\alpha, m) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=n(\alpha, m)}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \subset X - E_m$ . Consequently, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $n(\alpha, m) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(*) E_m \subset X - E_{n(\alpha, m)}(\alpha).$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$ . We shall prove that  $\limsup_n \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \subset X - \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m$ . Let  $x \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m$ . Then there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in E_{m_0}$ . From condition (\*) it follows that there exists a natural number  $n(\alpha, m_0)$  such that  $x \notin E_{n(\alpha, m_0)}(\alpha)$ . But the sequence  $\{E_n(\alpha)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of sets.

Thus  $x \notin \limsup_n \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\}$ . Consequently,  
 $\limsup_n \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{V}$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** The sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions converges to the  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$   $\mathcal{V}$ -a.e. if and only if the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ .

**P r o o f.** Necessity. Let  $C = \{x \in X: f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x)\}$ . Then  $X - C \in \mathcal{V}$ . Put  $C_n(\alpha) = X - E_n(\alpha)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Observe that  $C = \bigcap_{\alpha > 0} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_i(x) - f(x)| \leq \alpha\} = \bigcap_{\alpha > 0} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , so  $X - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\alpha) \subset X - C \in \mathcal{V}$ . We have  $X - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\alpha) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(\alpha) \in \mathcal{V}$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Consequently, the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $C = \{x \in X: f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x)\}$ . We have  $C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (X - E_n(1/k))$ . Hence  $X - C = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(1/k)$ . From the assumption it follows that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(\alpha) \in \mathcal{V}$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Consequently,  $X - C \in \mathcal{V}$ .

Obviously, the convergence in the sense of Egoroff implies convergence  $\mathcal{V}$ -a.e. If the pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$  fulfils (C.C.C) and the condition (E) (see [3], [4]), then the inverse implication holds. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets having the Baire property and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal of meager sets. It is known (see [3]) that the pair  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{K})$  does not fulfil the condition (E). The example from [2], p. 38 shows the sequence of continuous functions which is convergent to the function  $f \equiv 0$  on a real line, so it satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ , but it is not convergent in the sense of Egoroff because it is uniformly convergent only on nowhere dense sets.

**DEFINITION 3** (see [3]). We shall say that the sequence

$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions converges with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  to the  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  if and only if every subsequence  $\{f_{m_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  contains a subsequence  $\{f_{m_{p_n}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges to  $f$   $\mathcal{J}$ -a.e. We shall use the denotation  $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} f$ .

Put

$$\varphi_n(x) = \sup \{|f_i(x) - f(x)| : i \in \mathbb{N}; i \geq n\}.$$

Obviously, the functions  $\varphi_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable and if  $m \leq n$ , then  $\varphi_n(x) \leq \varphi_m(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

REMARK 1. If  $\alpha > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$E_n(\alpha) = \{x \in X : \varphi_n(x) > \alpha\}.$$

For the proof see [1].

COROLLARY 1. The sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to the  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  if and only if

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X : \varphi_n(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{J}$$

for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

LEMMA 1. The sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  to a function  $\varphi \equiv 0$  if and only if

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X : \varphi_n(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{J}$$

for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

P r o o f. Suppose that there exists a positive number  $\alpha$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X : \varphi_n(x) > \alpha\} \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Put  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X : \varphi_n(x) > \alpha\}$ . Then the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  cannot contain any subsequence, which is convergent to  $\varphi$   $\mathcal{J}$ -a.e. on  $X$ , because  $B = \{x \in X : \varphi_n(x) > \alpha \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Consequently,  $\varphi_n \not\xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} \varphi$ .

Suppose now that the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not convergent with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  to the function  $\varphi \equiv 0$ . From Lemma 4 in [3] it follows that there exist a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{m_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of

$\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , a set  $A \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{V}$  and a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $\lim_n \sup \varphi_n(x) > 1/k_0$   $\mathcal{V}$ -a.e. on  $A_0$ . Hence  $\{x \in X: \lim_n \sup \varphi_n(x) > 1/k_0\} \notin \mathcal{V}$ . It is easy to see that  $\{x \in X: \lim_n \sup \varphi_n(x) > 1/k_0\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X: \varphi_n(x) > 1/k_0\}$ , because the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing. Consequently, we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X: \varphi_n(x) > 1/k_0\} \notin \mathcal{V}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions and let  $f$  be an  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f$   $\mathcal{V}$ -a.e. on  $X$ ;
- (ii) the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ ;
- (iii) the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to zero with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$ .

The proof follows immediately from Theorem 1, Remark 1 and Lemma 1.

If the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions converges with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$  to an  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$ , then  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  need not satisfy the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ . In the case when  $\mathcal{V}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of Lebesgue measure zero then for  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we can take an arbitrary sequence of measurable functions defined on  $[0, 1]$ , which is convergent in measure but is not convergent a.e., for example the sequence of characteristic functions of the intervals  $[0, 1]$ ,  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ ,  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ ,  $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$ , ... This sequence does not satisfy the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f \equiv 0$  because  $E_n(\alpha) = \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_i(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} = [0, 1]$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can take the same example for the  $\sigma$ -ideal of meager sets.

**DEFINITION 4** (see [1]). We shall say that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions is  $M$ -convergent to an  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  if and only if for all  $\alpha > 0$  we have

$\{x \in X: |f_i(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \subset \{x \in X: |f_j(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\}$   
for  $i \geq j$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** If the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $S$ -measurable functions converges with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$  to an  $S$ -measurable function  $f$  and  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $M$ -convergent to  $f$ , then the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$  and  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f$   $\mathcal{V}$ -a.e.

**P r o o f.** Suppose that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not satisfy the vanishing restriction with respect to  $f$ . Then there exists a number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_i(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \notin \mathcal{V}$ . From the assumption it follows that  $\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_i(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} = \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\}$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\} \notin \mathcal{V}$ . Put  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \alpha\}$ . The sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge to  $f$  with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$  because it has not subsequence convergent to  $f$   $\mathcal{V}$ -a.e. on  $B$  which gives a contradiction.

**DEFINITION 5.** We shall say that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $S$ -measurable functions is bounded with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$  if and only if the sequence  $\{a_n f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$  to zero for every sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers tending to zero.

Obviously, if the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded, then it is also bounded with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**REMARK 2.** If  $f_n \uparrow f$  and  $g_n \uparrow g$ , then  $f_n g_n \uparrow fg$ .

The proof is obvious.

**REMARK 3.** Every sequence of  $S$ -measurable functions which is convergent with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$  is bounded with respect to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{V}$ .

The proof follows immediately from previous remark because every constant function is  $S$ -measurable.

The analog of Bolzano-Weierstrass's theorem does not hold.

Put  $f_n(x) = x_{A_n}(x)$ , where  $A_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \left[ \frac{2i}{2^n}, \frac{2i+1}{2^n} \right]$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

This sequence is bounded but none of its subsequence is convergent in measure.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bartle R. G., An extension of Egorov's theorem, Amer. Math. Month., 87/8 (1980), 628-633.
- [2] Oxtoby J. C., Measure and category New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1971.
- [3] Wagner E., Sequences of measurable functions, Fund. Math., 112 (1981), 89-102.
- [4] Wagner E., Wilczyński W., Convergence almost everywhere of sequences of measurable functions, Colloq. Math., 45 (1981), 119-124.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Łódź

Elżbieta Wagner-Bojakowska

## UWAGI O ZBIĘŻNOŚCI CIĄGÓW FUNKCJI MIERZALNYCH

Niech  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  będzie przestrzenią mierzalną i niech  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{S}$  będzie właściwym  $\sigma$ -ideałem. W artykule rozważane jest pojęcie ciągu funkcji  $\{f_n\}$  mającego znikające obcięcie względem funkcji  $f$ . Pojęcie to jest równoważne zbieżności ciągu  $\{f_n\}$  I-p.w. do funkcji  $f$  (twierdzenie 1). Udowodniono (twierdzenie 2), że jeśli  $\phi_n(x) = \sup_{i \geq n} |f_i(x) - f(x)|$ , to ciąg  $\{\phi_n\}$  jest zbieżny do zera wg  $\sigma$ -ideału  $\mathcal{V}$  wtedy i tylko wtedy, gdy ciąg  $\{f_n\}$  ma znikające obcięcie względem funkcji  $f$ .