

Janek Hejduk

SOME PROPERTIES OF SUBSETS OF R^k WITH THE BAIRE PROPERTY

In the paper there has been obtained a generalization of some theorem of Kuczma on the form of a sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n \in N}$ of sets constituting a decomposition of the space R^k , under the assumption that each of them possesses the Baire property and satisfies the conditions $Z_n + Z_n = Z_n$ and $Z_n \cap Z_m = \{0\}$ for $n \neq m$.

Here is considered a countable family $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of subsets of R^k fulfilling the conditions

$$Z_n + Z_n = Z_n, \quad 0 \in Z_n,$$

where $Z_n + Z_n$ denotes the set of all sums $z_1 + z_2$ with $z_1 \in Z_n$, $z_2 \in Z_n$. Kuczma proved in [1] the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If a set $Z \subset R^k$, $Z \neq R^k$, fulfils $Z + Z = Z$, $0 \in Z$, $Z + Z = Z$ and has the Baire property, then there exists an $(k - 1)$ dimensional hyperplane H passing through the origin, such that $Z = P \cup Z_0$ where P is one of two open half-spaces determined by H and Z_0 is a quite arbitrary subset of H fulfilling the condition $Z_0 + Z_0 = Z_0$.

We shall extend this theorem by replacing the two sets Z , $R^k - Z$ with a countable family of subsets of R^k . The following lemma may be obtained analogically to Lemma 1 in [1].

Lemma 1. If $Z \subset R$ is a set of the second category with the Baire property and $Z + Z \subset Z$, then there exists a number $c > 0$

such that $(c, \infty) \subset Z$ or a number $c \leq 0$ such that $(-\infty, c) \subset Z$.

Theorem 2. Each at most countable family $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of proper subsets of R^1 , fulfilling the following conditions

- 1° Z_n has the Baire property,
- 2° $Z_n \cap Z_m = \{0\}$, $n \neq m$, $Z_n \neq \{0\}$,
- 3° $Z_n + Z_n = Z_n$,
- 4° $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n = R$

consists of only two sets $Z_{n_1} = [0, \infty]$ and $Z_{n_2} = [-\infty, 0]$.

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n = R$, there exists a set Z_{n_1} of the second category. According to Lemma 1, there exists a number $c \leq 0$ such that $(-\infty, c) \subset Z_{n_1}$ or a number $c > 0$ such that $(c, +\infty) \subset Z_{n_1}$.

Suppose that the latter condition is fulfilled. This fact, together with 2° and 3°, implies that $(0, \infty) \subset Z_{n_1}$ and also $[0, \infty) \subset Z_{n_1}$. Z_{n_1} is a proper subset of R , so, by 3°, $Z_{n_1} = [0, \infty)$.

In such a case, $(-\infty, 0] = \bigcup_{n \neq n_1} Z_n$ and there exists a set Z_{n_2} of the second category, containing the set $(-\infty, 0]$. By the same arguments we used previously, we prove that $Z_{n_2} = (-\infty, 0]$.

Theorem 3. Let $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of proper subsets of R^k , fulfilling the following conditions

- 1° Z_n has the Baire property,
- 2° $Z_n \cap Z_m = \{0\}$ for $n \neq m$,
- 3° $Z_n + Z_n = Z_n$.

If $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n = R^k$, then every set Z_n is of the form $Z_n = \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l \cup Z_0^n$, where $\{P_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is a countable family of half-spaces each of which is determined by a $(k-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane passing through the origin and Z_0^n is a set of the first category. Moreover, if $\text{Int } Z_n \neq \emptyset$, then $Z_0^n \subset \text{Fr} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$.

P r o o f. Let S be the unit sphere in R^k . For each point $p \in S$, let

$$L(p) = \{rp : r \in (0, \infty)\}$$

be the open ray passing through p . Let $l(p)$ denote a line passing through p , and $\hat{L}(p) = l(p) - L(p)$. By proving *Theorem 2* in [1], K u c z m a showed that, for each point $p \in S - S_n$, where S_n is a set of the first category in the induced topology of S , a set $Z_n \cap L(p)$ has the Baire property considered as a subset of R . For each point $p \in S$, where $S' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, a family of sets $K_0 = \hat{L}(p)$, $K_n = Z_n \cap \overline{L(p)}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, fulfils assumptions 1^0-3^0 of *Theorem 2*, and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} K_i = R$. Hence the family $\{K_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ consists of two sets $K_{n_1} = \hat{L}(p)$ and $K_{n_2} = \overline{L(p)}$. Then, for each point $p \in S - S'$,

$$Z_n \cap L(p) = \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad Z_n \cap L(p) = L(p).$$

Let

$$A_n = \{p \in S : Z_n \cap L(p) = L(p)\},$$

$$B_n = \bigcup_{p \in A_n} L(p),$$

$$C_n = \bigcup_{p \in S_n} L(p).$$

The sets of family $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are mutually disjoint as well as the sets of family $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Further, each set C_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is a set of the first category.

It is easy to see that

$$(*) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Now, we prove that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \cap Z_{n_0} = C_{n_0} \cap Z_{n_0}.$$

Let $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \cap Z_{n_0}$; then $x \in Z_{n_0}$ and there exists n_1 such that $x \in C_{n_1}$. If $n_1 = n_0$, then $x \in C_{n_0} \cap Z_{n_0}$. Let $n_1 \neq n_0$. Since $x \in C_{n_1}$ there exists $p \in S_{n_1}$ such that $x \in L(p)$. A set $L(p) \cap Z_{n_0}$ is non-empty because $x \in L(p) \cap Z_{n_0}$. If the set $L(p) \cap Z_{n_0}$ had the Baire property, then it would be true that $L(p) \cap Z_{n_0} = L(p)$, which is not possible because then $L(p) \cap Z_{n_1} = \emptyset$ while $p \in S_{n_1}$. Hence $L(p) \cap Z_{n_0}$ has not the Baire property and so, $p \in S_{n_0}$, $L(p) \subset C_{n_0}$ and, consequently, $x \in C_{n_0} \cap Z_{n_0}$.

By (*) and the previous equation,

$$Z_n = B_n \cup C_n \cap Z_n \cup \{0\}.$$

Kuczmarski in [1] proved that B_n is a cone and also a convex set. Since B_n and B_m are disjoint and convex, it is possible to separate them by a $(k-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane H_m passing through the origin [2].

Let P_m denote an open half-space in whose closure B_n lies. Hence we obtain

$$B_n \subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l.$$

Further, we shall show that

$$\text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l = \text{Int } B_n = \text{Int } Z_n.$$

First, we shall prove that $\text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l = \text{Int } B_n$. Suppose that $\text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l \neq \emptyset$ and let $\text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l - B_n \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $x \in \text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$, and $x \notin B_n$. There exists a positive number ε such that a ball $K(x, \varepsilon)$ is included in $\text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l$. Let P_x be a hyperplane separating a set $\{x\}$ from a set B_n . By P_x we denote a half-space in whose closure $\{x\}$ lies.

We obtain

$$K(x, \varepsilon) \cap P_x \cap B_n = \emptyset$$

and also

$$\emptyset \neq K(x, \varepsilon) \cap P_x \subset \text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - B_n \subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - B_n =$$

$$\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l \cup \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l - B_n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l \subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \pi_l$$

and we shall also prove that

$$\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - B_n \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n.$$

Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \cup \{0\} = R^k$, therefore if $x \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l - B_n$, then $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ or $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. The latter would be impossible because then both $x \in B_{n_0}$, $n \neq n_0$, and $x \in P_{n_0}$, but from the definition of $\{P_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ we have $P_{n_0} \cap B_{n_0} = \emptyset$. Thus we obtain that a set $\text{Int } \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - B_n$, which has non-empty interior is a subset of the union of two sets of the first category $\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \pi_l$

and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l = B_n$ and $\text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l = \text{Int} B_n$.

Proving the next equality $\text{Int} B_n = \text{Int} Z_n$, it suffices to show that $\text{Int} Z_n \subset B_n$. If $\text{Int} Z_n = \emptyset$, then also $\text{Int} B_n = \emptyset$. Suppose that $x \in \text{Int} Z_n$. Then there exist a ball $K(x, \varepsilon) \subset \text{Int} Z_n \subset Z_n$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^k$, such that the segment $[a, b] \subset L(x) \cap Z_n$. It is easy to verify that a set $[na, nb] \subset L(x) \cap Z_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and, in consequence, each element $z \in L(x)$ belongs to the set $L(x) \cap Z_n$. Therefore, $L(x) \cap Z_n = L(x)$ and $L(x) \subset B_n$. The equality below follows from *:

$$Z_n = \text{Int} B_n \cup B_n - \text{Int} B_n \cup C_n \cap Z_n \cup \{0\}.$$

Let us denote

$$Z_0^n = B_n - \text{Int} B_n \cup C_n \cap Z_n \cup \{0\}.$$

Since $B_n - \text{Int} B_n \subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$, therefore Z_0^n is a set of the first category in \mathbb{R}^k . Thus $Z_n = \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l \cup Z_0^n$. Moreover, if $\text{Int} Z_n \neq \emptyset$, then $Z_0^n \subset \text{Fr} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$.

It is sufficient to prove that the set $C_n \cap Z_n$ is a subset of $\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l - \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l = \text{Fr} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$. Let $x \in C_n \cap Z_n$. It is clear that $x \notin \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$ because $\text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l = \text{Int} B_n$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $x \in \bar{P}_l$ for each $l = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that $x \notin P_{l_0}$. Then $x \in P'_{l_0} = \mathbb{R}^k - \bar{P}_{l_0}$ and there exists a ball $K(x, \varepsilon) \subset P'_{l_0}$. Since $\text{Int} Z_n \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Int} Z_n = \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$, therefore, for every positive number δ , $K(0, \delta) \cap \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$ is a non-empty open set. Let $y \in K(0, \varepsilon) \cap \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$;

then there exists a ball $K(y, \eta)$ such that $K(y, \eta) \subset K(0, \varepsilon) \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l \subset K(0, \varepsilon)$. Obviously, $K(y, \eta) \subset Z_n$ and also $K(y, \eta) + x \subset Z_n$, $K(y, \eta) + x \subset K(x, \varepsilon)$, but this is impossible, since the set $K(x, \varepsilon)$ is disjoint from $\text{Int } Z_n$. If, instead of a countable family, we consider two sets Z_1 and $Z_2 = R^k - Z_1$, which fulfil 1^0-4^0 , then we obtain Theorem 2 of [1]. It turns out that the Baire property cannot be omitted and the countable family cannot be replaced by an uncountable one.

Example 1. There exist two sets $Z_1, Z_2 \subset R$, $Z_1, Z_2 \neq R$ fulfilling the conditions

$$1^0 \quad 0 \in Z_1, \quad 0 \in Z_2, \quad Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \{0\},$$

$$2^0 \quad Z_1 + Z_1 = Z_1, \quad Z_2 + Z_2 = Z_2,$$

$$3^0 \quad Z_1 \cup Z_2 = R,$$

but $Z_1 \neq (-\infty, 0]$, $Z_1 \neq [0, \infty)$ and then, $Z_2 \neq (-\infty, 0]$, $Z_2 \neq [0, +\infty)$.

Let $H = \{h_i\}_{i < \Omega}$ denote the Hamel basis in the vector space R over the field of rational numbers Q . Each element $x \in R$, $x \neq 0$, has the unique representation

$$(**) \quad x = a_1 h_{i_1} + \dots + a_n h_{i_n}, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$$

$$a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q.$$

Let Z_1 denote the set of all points for which a_n is a positive number in representation **. Moreover, let $0 \in Z_1$. It is easy to verify that $Z_1 + Z_1 = Z_1$. Let $Z_2 = R - Z_1 \cup \{0\}$. Obviously, $Z_2 + Z_2 = Z_2$, $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \{0\}$ and $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = R$. The set Z_1 does not contain the non-degenerate interval because Z_1 is disjoint from the set $\{x : x = ah_1 - h_2, h_1 < h_2, a \in Q\}$ which is dense on the real line R . Similar arguments apply to Z_2 . Hence the sets Z_1 and Z_2 have not the form described in Theorem 3. The

sets Z_1 and Z_2 have not the Baire property because Z_1 or Z_2 is a set of the second category and, by the Piccard theorem [3], we should obtain that Z_1 or Z_2 contains an open segment.

Example 2. There exists an uncountable family of sets $\{Z_t\}_{t \in T} \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$1^\circ \quad Z_t \cap Z_s = \{0\} \quad t \neq s, \quad Z_t \neq 0,$$

$$2^\circ \quad Z_t \text{ has the Baire property,}$$

$$3^\circ \quad Z_t + Z_t = Z_t,$$

$$4^\circ \quad \bigcup_{t \in T} Z_t = \mathbb{R}.$$

Let H be the Hamel basis. Let $Z(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \{x : x = a_1 h_1 + \dots + a_n h_n, h_1, \dots, h_n \in H,$

$$a_1 \neq 0, \dots, a_n \neq 0\},$$

and let $0 \in Z(h_1, \dots, h_n)$.

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \mathbb{R}$ and $Z(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ is a countable set, so it

$$h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$$

has the Baire property, and also

$$Z(h_1, \dots, h_n) + Z(h_1, \dots, h_n) = Z(h_1, \dots, h_n).$$

By the properties of the Hamel basis, condition 1° is satisfied and $h_1 + \dots + h_n \in Z(h_1, \dots, h_n)$, which implies that $Z(h_1, \dots, h_n) \neq 0$.

Simultaneously, the set $T = \{(h_1, \dots, h_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}, h_1, \dots, h_n \in H\}$ is uncountable.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K u c z m a, On a theorem of Roman Ger, Prace Nauk. Univ. Śląsk. No 87.
- [2] K. K u r a t o w s k i, Topologie, vol. I (Quatrième édition), Monogr. Mat. 20, Warsaw 1958.
- [3] S. P i c c a r d, Sur des ensembles parfaits, Paris 1942.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Łódź

Jacek Hejduk

PEWNE WŁASNOŚCI PODZBIORÓW PRZESTRZENI R^k O WŁASNOŚCI BAIRE'A

W pracy uzyskane jest uogólnienie pewnego twierdzenia Kuczmy, które sformułować możemy w następujący sposób:

Twierdzenie. Niech $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ będzie przeliczalną rodziną właściwych podzbiorów przestrzeni R^k , spełniającą następujące warunki:

- 1° Z_n ma własność Baire'a,
- 2° $Z_n \cap Z_m = \{\emptyset\}$ dla $n \neq m$,
- 3° $Z_n + Z_n = Z_n$.

Jeśli $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n = R^k$, wtedy każdy zbiór Z_n jest postaci $Z_n = \text{Int} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^n Z_0^l$, gdzie $\{P_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ jest przeliczalną rodziną półprzestrzeni, z których każda wyznaczona jest przez $(k-1)$ -wymiarową hiperpłaszczyznę przechodzącą przez początek układu współrzędnych i Z_0^n jest zbiorem pierwszej kategorii.

Jeśli $\text{Int } Z_n \neq \emptyset$, to $Z_0^n \subset \text{Fr} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{P}_l$.

W pracy podane są również dwa przykłady ilustrujące konieczność założenia własności Baire'a i przeliczalności rodziny zbiorów stanowiących pewien rozkład przestrzeni R^k .