PRANKVERTISING – PRANKS AS A NEW FORM OF BRAND ADVERTISING ONLINE

A practical joke (i.e. a prank) belongs to a category of disparagement humor, as it is a playful act held to amuse, tease or even mock the victim, and to entertain the audience. Although humor has been long exploited in broadcast and print advertising, the use of practical jokes is a more recent phenomenon esp. in digital marketing. The development of the Internet and social media creates new opportunities for using pranks as disguised adverts embedded in online strategies and there is an increasing number of companies which exploit pranks as a creative content solution for their online presence. As there is little academic endeavor devoted to this subject, this paper forwards a theoretical and practical framework for pranks. It recognizes pranks as innovative forms of digital advertising and it analyses their potential in terms of branding effectiveness (e.g. in maximizing brand reach, exposure, brand visibility, drawing consumer attention, eliciting strong emotions etc.). Possible prank effects are inferred from the theory of humor and from the secondary data collected by the authors of this paper. Key challenges, risks and limitations are discussed and relevant examples are provided. The paper concludes with several research areas and questions to be addressed in future empirical studies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Internet has become one of the most important advertising tools and it has overtaken traditional media in terms of brand-building possibilities. Year by year the online advertising market is experiencing a considerable growth and development. There is an increasing number of companies allocating their budgets in diverse forms of online communication e.g. display ads, search engine optimization, social profiles, games, and viral videos. According to Zenith Optimedia, it is online video that is one of the fastest growing advertising tools and it is expected to rise by a half to around 10 billion USD by 2016. As videos are believed to generate traffic and online word-of-mouth, advertisers dedicate more and more resources to build appropriate video content that would contribute to positive advocacy among their target audiences. Video as a vehicle for viral marketing has
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Thus become an applauded lever for emotions, engagement and positive on-brand behaviors.

This paper addresses a very specific type of online viral videos: video pranks, i.e. advertising messages disguised as practical jokes and disseminated by brands. It analyzes their role and functions in contemporary branding campaigns on the Internet. As the concept of a branding prank has been largely understudied and under-theorized by marketing scholars, the manuscript aims at providing theoretical and practical background to this phenomenon and attempts to identify key research areas which need to be further explored. At the end of the manuscript the authors provide a list of prank videos (table 1.), which serve as exemplifications of different statements presented in the text. In order to form a complete picture of pranks in digital advertising, the readers are strongly encouraged to watch the relevant footage along with reading subsequent sections.

2. PRANKS AND PRANKVERTISING – DEFINING A NEW CONCEPT

A prank is a ludicrous event or act done to entertain, amuse or ridicule. As cultural anthropologist, Richard Bauman, suggests, it is an enactment of playful deceit. A practical joke is played by a trickster on an individual (i.e. a victim) who does not expect to be a subject of any mockery or comic situation. From socio-cultural viewpoint, pranks have been recognized as a category of play, as they attempt to blur the boundaries between artifice and reality, to reverse the typical social order and hierarchy of everydayness, and, simultaneously, they are unserious, make-believe, and involve magnitude of surprise.

Typical examples range from childish joke experiments (e.g. placing salt in a sugar bowl; hanging a bucket of water above a doorway), to "adolescent" office pranks (e.g. wrapping the office desks with stretch foil, so colleagues returning from their holidays think they are fired).

From an entertainment perspective, a prank is not a new phenomenon and it has been extensively used for decades by television producers in, for example, the Candid Camera format. In contemporary marketing, however, practical jokes have just begun to be exploited for online branding purposes, which contributes to one of the latest trends, namely called prankvertising. Professional pranks (i.e. staged by advertising agencies) are usually complex performances, planned ahead of execution and with anticipated results. In digital media pranks have become a modern executional tactic for promotional messages designed to draw consumers’ attention in a highly cluttered environment. They are increasingly used as a captive content for videos disseminated online by brands to promote themselves and to build a positive word-of-mouth. Such video pranks (hereafter called branding pranks) depict unsuspecting consumers caught up in a trap or set up by actors in prearranged marketing stunts. So, like in the traditional humor process, branding pranks usually involve 3 parties: an agent, an object and an audience. Brands act as joke tellers and tricksters (agents) who set up a prank, engineer its scenario, and control the source of
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fun and humor; online users (i.e. target consumers) become the recipients of humor (an audience); and anonymous individuals are the objects (victims) of a joke.

It is the surprise and genuine reactions of objects (i.e. staged veracity of the stunt), that constitute a source of humor in branding pranks. However, the level of reality and surprise differs depending on a joke. In Carlsberg “Bikers in Cinema” prank, unsuspecting people enter the cinema where there are only limited seats available among a scary-looking group of bikers. The suspense is relieved when the most “courageous” visitors take a seat next to the bikers and are awarded with a beer for their outgoing attitude. While this situation was authentic, certain branding stunts are staged in more controlled environments, with prearranged equipment and specially selected actors. The Weather Channel (TWC), for example, officially admitted that in their prank, which had been designed to promote TWC’s new Android application to forecast the weather, they used professional actors.

3. PRANKS AND THEIR ROLE IN BRAND PROMOTION ONLINE

One can encounter many branding pranks across diverse product categories (e.g. airlines, household appliances, toys), but most spectacular stunts are staged by companies offering their products in FMCG sectors like food, beverages and cosmetics (e.g. Coca-Cola, Pepsi, Heineken, Carlsberg, McDonald’s, Nivea, Herbal Essences). These are predominantly low-involvement products, which have already exploited all arguments about extra attributes, functions or benefits they may provide, and they operate in highly competitive, dense markets, with extensive number of players and advertising clutter. Under such conditions, using unconventional promotional methods seems the most efficient strategy for differentiation and standing out from the online crowd.

Most frequent objectives set for branding pranks are: maximizing reach and brand visibility, generating attention, eliciting strong emotions and providing a compelling portrayal of brand core ideas. Despite their advertising origin and purpose, branding pranks do not directly promote products per se; they are not designed to sell, neither to induce immediate purchase behaviors on any viewers’ part. Instead, they are introducing the viewer into the world and philosophy of a particular brand (e.g. “Push to add drama” prank held by cable network TNT); they amusingly epitomize brand values and claims (e.g. Heineken and its “Champions league match vs. classical concert” prank), attributes (e.g. Nivea “The stress test”, LG “Meteor”, DHL “Trojan mailing”) or a reason to be (e.g. Coca-Cola “Singapore recycle happiness machine”, “Happiness truck”, “Happiness machine”). A product provides just a setting for playing a joke; it creates an occasion to trigger a play (e.g. a Samsung prank “All eyes on S4”) and make fun of unsuspecting nonprofessionals.

Most remarkable pranks can gather a multimillion audience in a relatively short period of time. Up to date (i.e. September 2014) the mostly viewed pranks were: TNT video “Push to add drama” which had been seen 51,149,105 times in two years⁸, WestJet “Christmas miracle” with 36,351,790 views in nine months⁹, and LG “Elevator” with

---

22,535,332 impressions in one year\(^\text{10}\). The actual reach of these pranks may become even greater as they are frequently shared, forwarded and cited across traditional media and social networking sites. LG video “Meteor”, for instance, was referred to in “Huffington Post”\(^\text{11}\), “Telegraph”\(^\text{12}\), “Daily Mail”\(^\text{13}\), “Mirror”\(^\text{14}\) etc. Similarly, positive reviews about TNT prank were presented in “Huffington Post”\(^\text{15}\), “Daily Mail”\(^\text{16}\) and even “Forbes”\(^\text{17}\).

Apart from gaining visibility online, pranks are designed to generate intense emotions. Humor in its essence has significant emotional power and appeal to massive audience. Strong emotions, as produced by humorous messages, are believed to drive on-brand behaviors, or at least leave considerable memory traces, which consumers may rely on in their subsequent decision-making. Surprisingly, it is the negative nature of emotional appeal, that seems to count for most marketing managers who attempt to advertise online with branding pranks. There are many examples of very provocative pranks, which actually base on negative emotions like fear, derision, embarrassment or mayhem. In Nivea “The stress test” prank, for instance, the objects (victims) are secretly photographed as they sit in the airport departure lounge. These images are immediately used to depict the objects as very dangerous fugitives in faux newspapers distributed around the airport and in TV programs broadcasted in that lounge. As the prankees become stressed, the airport security guards approach them with Nivea antiperspirant deodorants - the products designed to help consumers overcome the effects of stressful situations. Despite the positive closure of this video, a careful viewer would not only remember the brand, but might also associate Nivea with emotional harm and trauma experienced by the victims. Another example of a branding prank that uses non-positive humor signals was held by LG. It depicts candidates during job interviews, who are tricked into thinking that a meteor has just fallen on the city outside the office window. Albeit staged, “Meteor” video may raise viewers’ sympathy and compassion as they associate themselves with humiliat-
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ed job candidates. Pranks, therefore, have to be used with caution in advertising, as they may convert mirth into contempt towards a trickster i.e. a brand.

As practical jokes are a form of disparagement humor, the use of negative emotions should not be a great surprise. From statistical perspective, fearful and derisive branding pranks may be even regarded as more effective in terms of media reach than positive and blissful ones. The most frequently viewed stunts were designed to mock or ridicule the victims. For example, in Pepsi Max “Test drive” video, an unsuspecting car salesman is taken to test drive a car with a disguised NASCAR racer, Jeff Gordon, who dangerously speeds along the streets. Despite the evident emotional harm imposed on a salesman, the video managed to get over 42,947,547 views in one year. This, however, raises some doubts about delayed effectiveness of pranks: to what extent such number of views and extensive media coverage may compensate for generating unfavorable brand attitudes as a result of a negatively-oriented humor?

For brands which position themselves as fun, witty or entertaining, pranks often become the foundation of long-term brand communications online. Heineken, for example, has been long involved in staging practical jokes which engage and integrate football spectators all over the world. In one of the most exciting stunts, over 1,000 AC Milan fans were maneuvered into a fake classical music concert organized at the same time as a Real Madrid vs. AC Milan soccer game. Another example of using situational humor by Heineken is presented in “The negotiation” video. It depicts men trying desperately to persuade their female partners to spend almost 2,000 USD on two plastic, red, stadium chairs, in order to win a ticket for the UEFA Champions League finals in London (under one condition: they cannot mention the tickets in their negotiations). The abundant portfolio of Heineken pranks comprises also videos with: a fake job interview (“Candidate”), a female stranger in a bar offering a tour to a football game in another country (“The decision”), a challenge to find another half of the ticket in a supermarket (“3 minutes to the final”) and many others. They are all consistently embedded in the communications strategy in order to raise humor and persuasively portray a brand in real-life situations and contexts.

Non-humorous brands (i.e. with no associations to humor) exploit pranks in single campaigns or marketing online events so as to visualize the main product benefit and authenticate it. This is the objective of Nivea “The stress test” prank or Herbal Essence and its “Experiencia” stunt. Another example is “So real it’s scary” campaign, in which LG Electronics promote the IPS monitor as providing such realistic vision that may totally captivate the viewers or even evoke extreme reactions of panic, fear, anxiety or thrill. LG pranks are supposed to provide credibility and a sense of authenticity to the brand, as they present genuine expressions of unsuspecting victims caught up in diverse, optically delusive, traps e.g.: in an elevator (a grid of monitors is fixed in an elevator so that it broadcasts an optic illusion of a floor falling down - “Elevator”), in a restroom (monitors, which are installed above a row of urinals in a public restroom, display beautiful women starring and commenting on all visitors - “Stage fright”) and at a job interview (“Meteor”). LG positioning statement is not based on humor, but a joke serves temporarily as a vehicle for communicating key functional benefit of a product i.e. visual superiority.

4. PRANK EFFECTS ON BRANDS – IMPLICATIONS FROM THEORY OF HUMOR

From marketing perspective, it is important to gain insights about the communication effects of pranks. Disappointingly, little academic work has been devoted to consumer studies on practical jokes and their efficacy in digital advertising. However, as pranks belong to a category of humor, one can infer from extant humor literature their possible persuasive powers and their likely impact on consumer behavior.

According to Speck19, there are mainly three broad groups of theories of humor response: cognitive-perceptual (e.g. incongruity theories), superiority (e.g. disparagement and affective-evaluative theories) and relief (e.g. psychodynamic theories). From marketing communications perspective, these theories offer a series of approaches which might prove useful in explaining, how humor (esp. used in a form of online pranks) works in terms of branding effects. One of the commonly accepted theoretical concepts is derived from a classical conditioning theory, Heider’s balance theory20 and a model of attitude formation21. The idea is that affective responses, stimulated by humor used in an ad, directly influence (are transferred to) brand attitude without swaying brand recall or recognition22. Simply, humorous ads (unlike serious ones) generate excessively higher levels of positive attitudes. This triggers the transfer of affect onto the brand, as individuals strive to keep consistency (balance) in their attitudes and behaviors.

Another conceptualization recognizes humor as a distractive factor which impedes comprehension of the advertising stimuli and its recall. Zillmann et al.23 and Woltman Elpers et al.24 have argued that respondents are so concentrated on humor, that they show low attentiveness to other layers of the message. Cognitively speaking, humor elicits strong emotions of mirth, pleasure and amusement, which serve as a sufficient encouragement to focus attention25. The more intense the humor in the stimuli, the more probable it is to distract the audience from other (non-humor) aspects of this stimuli e.g. a brand. This assumption is frequently used to explain, why funny ads and commercials arrive at simultaneously low brand recall and high ad recall indicators.

As humor is expected to persuade consumers, the Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) developed by Petty and Cacioppo\(^\text{26}\), is often adopted to describe how humor can produce various communication effects. Out of two paths to persuasion, peripheral one requires little elaboration, low involvement, and it uses cues and inferences to elicit affective change. Along with this theory, **humor can serve as a peripheral cue to persuasion and stimulate delayed responses to brands** (including attitudes). However, as noted by Petty and Cacioppo\(^\text{27}\) and Petty et al.\(^\text{28}\), these responses are less persistent over time and less predictive of behavior than attitudes triggered by the central route.

In his work, Speck\(^\text{29}\) suggests that under certain circumstances (e.g. in case of humorous products), humor can serve as a central message argument or it can help boost arousal and focus attention, thus perpetuating central route to persuasion (arousal may motivate individuals to concentrate on the message, while attention would increase their ability to process the message). Nevertheless, in most cases humor serves as an indirect incentive to produce attention and message acceptance. This view is strongly supported by available empirical evidence: while comparing humor to serious advertising messages, many scholars found humor to be more effective in gaining consumers’ attention and liking towards the ad and the advertiser\(^\text{30}\). Although much of these studies had been conducted prior to the advent of Internet, the impact of humor on attention is expected to be stable over diverse media channels\(^\text{31}\).

Many theoretical perspectives on humor in advertising (including those described above) do not compete, but complement one another. Unfortunately, the literature provides mixed empirical results on the effects of humor on several outcome variables i.e. comprehension, consumer memory, and brand attitudes\(^\text{32}\). For example Eisend\(^\text{33}\), in his meta-analysis revealed that humor has no significant impact neither on brand recall, nor on comprehension of the stimulus, attitude towards the advertiser and purchase behavior. Weinberger and Campbell\(^\text{34}\), Zhang and Zinkhan\(^\text{35}\) found that humor might aid compre-
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hension of an ad, while the opposite findings were reported by Gelb and Zinkhan\textsuperscript{36} and Lammers et al.\textsuperscript{37}.

Indeed, the inconclusive nature of empirical results suggests that there exist additional factors that might moderate the humor-brand relationship. Out of many moderators, the most important ones are: product category and use, humor type and intensity, perception of humor\textsuperscript{38}. In order to gain positive results from humor on brand attitudes, the ads must be firstly perceived as humorous (perception of humor)\textsuperscript{39}. Ads perceived as humorous are more effective for low-risk and low-involvement products (product category), as they do not require deep elaboration and weighting alternatives. Under such conditions humor becomes a peripheral cue which indirectly impacts positive responses of consumers\textsuperscript{40}.

Based on the above discussion, one may conclude that **embedding a prank in an online video, may draw viewers’ attention, lead to improved recall of this video, and contribute to positive attitudes towards it.** This relationship, however, may differ depending on the promoted product (e.g. low vs. high risk; low vs. high involvement), humor perception, type (e.g. disparagement vs. incongruity) and intensity (e.g. mild vs. intense). Nonetheless, **no significant impact on purchase behavior should be expected.** These suppositions, however, require further examination. As pranks constitute a very specific category of humor, they need thorough testing in order to establish their exact (and not inferred) influence on brand-related consumer behaviors and reactions.

5. **RISKS AND LIMITATIONS OF PRANKVERTISING**

In theory, a branding prank mostly involves unsuspecting victims maneuvered into eccentric scenarios in public places. A real-life setting and high dependence on spontaneity of non-actors entails a number of potential risks. Firstly, the marketers **cannot predict exactly whether and how the audience will understand and react to the joke.** As each member of the public assesses a prank based on their own personal experiences, individual sense of humor and subjective knowledge of aesthetics, many performances may sometimes generate unexpected results in terms of consumer understanding, liking, preferences and attitudes towards the trickster (i.e. the brand). For example, Toys"R"Us employed prankvertising in its campaign circled around a theme of “a wish”. Brand executives organized a trip for kids; official destination was the forest, but in reality a school bus took children to the huge Toys"R"Us store, where they could have taken any toy of their choice. Despite the unbridled enthusiasm of the “victims”, the video was not well received among the Internet users. What was intended to become a blissful and emotional prank, actually gathered a massive number of negative comments e.g.: “shame on you Toys R


Us! take those kids to the forest!!”; „This promotion makes me sad. Portraying an outdoor field trip as the boring alternative to a trip to TrU is a cheap shot. Very unfortunate choice”41. Notwithstanding the impressive number of 1,120,685 views, the video collected 2,760 dislikes (along with only 1,071 likes)42 and the brand was criticized for using children for marketing purposes.

Secondly, the reactions of the prankees can be unpredictable to the pranksters. Certain scenarios may be insufficiently appealing or unengaging for participants, as in case of Kupiec (a brand of grain, rice and breakfast products) which did not succeed in attracting masses of people in their practical joke presented in the “Push and something will happen” video. Marketers do not have enough data, resources and equipment to anticipate and fully control the behavior of prank objects and they often need to rely on their individual feelings and subjective presumptions. This leads sometimes to the situations in which pranks become too provocative in terms of personal privacy or social acceptance, and they, therefore, inflict emotional distress, pain or even cause litigations and more advanced legal actions. A French producer of household goods, Cuisinella, conducted a prank that involved (allegedly real) pedestrians who became the objects of a street shooting. Although the targets were actually shot with fake bullets, they were forced into the coffins and finally transported to the mortuary. Staged or not, this stunt was regarded as outrageously invasive, abusive and very harmful to the victims. If marketers expose their prank objects to certain liability, fear or danger, the consequences may become surprisingly extreme. Toyota, for instance, is sued for stalking and terrorizing a consumer (a result of an unfortunate prank promoting the new model of a car), who is now demanding 10,000,000 USD in damages for psychological injury43. In such situations, a better solution is to stage an ideally veracious prank (with professional actors) in order to avoid potential problems and accusations.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A branding prank is an advertising act disguised as a practical joke. It is designed by marketers to make people laugh and learn about the brand. As humor can appeal to sizeable audiences, pranks are believed to become a convenient solution for mass communication of brands and products. They are regarded as very compelling performances for large number of consumers, due to the use of fun, real-life settings, and non-actors. Contrary to traditional advertising, prankvertising is expected to provide greater credibility to the brand and offer viewers authentic experiences along with real entertainment value. Despite their unquestionable attractiveness, branding pranks involve, however, certain managerial limitations and challenges.

Firstly, the Internet has extended the environment for staging, recording and diffusion of branding pranks, but it does not provide satisfactory tools for anticipating and measuring their results. It allows pranks to proliferate, become interactive and address versatile audiences. Social media facilitate the dissemination of branding videos; it is the
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viewers, however, not brand owners, who are empowered to comment, share, evaluate and forward the footage online. Such balance of power gives less importance to a brand itself and makes pranks less predictable as for their marketing outcomes. If a prank generates outrage or misunderstanding, negative associations will be attributed to the brand across many Internet channels. In general, the reception of pranks by online communities is largely unknown, and it is not only typical for controversial stunts (comp. Cuisinella), but also for less provocative ones (as was in case of Toys"R"Us).

Secondly, humor is not a fully effective tactic for message content in marketing communication of brands. Humor has been long used in traditional advertising in order to attract attention, encourage involvement with the message and the medium. Unfortunately, humor often serves as a distraction from the advertising content and it does not improve memory traces about an advertiser (esp. in case of high-involvement product categories). In other words, it is the humorous stimuli that consumers mostly remember, not the brand itself. Additionally, joke cognition is a highly subjective process i.e. not every audience member has skills, competences and identical sense of humor to decode a joke and understand its meaning. While pranks are a form of disparagement humor, they often become performances of mockery, which allow spectators to laugh at someone else’s expense. Not everybody enjoys ridiculing other people; not everybody laughs at the same things, ideas and situations.

Thirdly, the underlying limitation to all prankvertising efforts is the void in data on the effectiveness of pranks and their possible impact on immediate and delayed consumer behaviors. Practical jokes as branding weapons are very difficult to capture, measure and evaluate, due to: (1) dynamic nature of such performances, (2) many potentially moderating and mediating factors, (3) the attribution effect (i.e. the problem of attributing and tracing the link between specific results and investments). As a result, there are several questions to be addressed in future theoretical and empirical studies e.g.:

- What specific communication goals can be achieved through the use of pranks and to what extent?
- What factors (psychological, sociological, cultural, environmental etc.) moderate the outcomes?
- What immediate and delayed responses can be expected?
- What processes cause humor to occur in branding pranks?
- Which type of prank (staged vs. real; based on negative vs. positive emotions etc.) is more effective in driving desired consumer responses?
- How much of disparagement humor impacts the positive vs. negative effects of a branding prank?
- How and to what extent the effectiveness of a branding prank depends on a product category?

Future research efforts should focus on examining these questions and assessing prank influences with regard to diverse ROI and brand indicators.
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APPENDIX

Table 1. List of branding pranks cited in the text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND - A PRANKSTER</th>
<th>TITLE OF A PRANK</th>
<th>EMOTIONS PLAYED BY A PRANKSTER</th>
<th>URL ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlsberg Bikers in cinema</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, excitement, fear, confusion</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RS3iB47nQ6E">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RS3iB47nQ6E</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlsberg Carlsberg puts friends to the test</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, excitement, fear, confusion</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vs1wMPls84_BA">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vs1wMPls84_BA</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola Singapore recycle happiness machine</td>
<td>Happiness, warmth, hilarity, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4D-RejzbC0Q">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4D-RejzbC0Q</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola Happiness truck</td>
<td>Happiness, warmth, hilarity, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vap-ZsSDeE">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vap-ZsSDeE</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola Happiness machine (London)</td>
<td>Happiness, warmth, hilarity, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M0D3jKLz6sA">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M0D3jKLz6sA</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuisinella Sniper shot</td>
<td>Fear, anger, shock, pain, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zdsmnMKTVAc">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zdsmnMKTVAc</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHL Trojan mailing</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, awe</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=07y11b6D7k">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=07y11b6D7k</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heineken Champions league match vs. classical concert</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, happiness, excitement, awe</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aQ6y3RQI1UQ">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aQ6y3RQI1UQ</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heineken Candidate</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, happiness, warmth</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1kKbNHKc7EY">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1kKbNHKc7EY</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heineken The decision</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, happiness, excitement</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=73pYJd3pRdY">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=73pYJd3pRdY</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heineken 3 minutes to the final</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, happiness, warmth</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WHjy2kBlb4">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WHjy2kBlb4</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heineken The negotiation</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, happiness, warmth</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRhXOCHIcDm">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRhXOCHIcDm</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbal Essences Experiencia</td>
<td>Arousal, surprise, amusement</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4xQb9KlO3E">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4xQb9KlO3E</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kupiec Push and something will happen</td>
<td>Excitement, awe, surprise, amusement</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttWbHcamudWSs">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttWbHcamudWSs</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG Meteor</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, excitement, fear, embarrassment, confusion</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4xQb9KlO3E">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4xQb9KlO3E</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG Elevator</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, excitement, fear</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NCXmmxNnIE8">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NCXmmxNnIE8</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG Stage fright</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, excitement, embarrassment, confusion</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JOpccxCJPsY">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JOpccxCJPsY</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonald’s Big Mac mind tests</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PTJazzFBKL8">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PTJazzFBKL8</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nivea The stress test</td>
<td>Hilarity, surprise, fear, embarrassment, confusion</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3hV8P8IMs">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3hV8P8IMs</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepsi Max Test drive</td>
<td>Hilarity, derision, fear, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5nHPo2yDG8">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5nHPo2yDG8</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsung All eyes on S4</td>
<td>Happiness, hilarity, surprise</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/">https://www.youtube.com/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Praktyczny żart (tzw. psikus) stanowi formę humoru negatywnego; jest to zabawne i wcześniej zaplanowane działanie, przedsięwzięcie czy zdarzenie, które ma na celu rozmieszczenie publiczności, ale również wyśmieianie ofiary (bohatera) żartu. Mimo że zarówno pozytywny, jak i negatywny humor od lat jest dosyć powszechnie wykorzystywany taktyką w reklamie, praktyczne żarty związane są z stosunkowo nowym zjawiskiem reklamowym. Rozwój Internetu i mediów społecznościowych stworzył szerokie możliwości stosowania psikusów jako ukrytych reklam wbudowanych w strategie komunikacji marketingowej online. Coraz więcej firm wykorzystuje tę formę żartu w swoich działaniach komunikacyjnych i postrzega ją jako innowacyjne rozwiązanie pozwalające na zaangażowanie konsumentów w Internecie. Ze względu na brak badań oraz analiz naukowych poświęconych tej tematyce, niniejszy artykuł formułuje teoretyczne i praktyczne ramy dla psikusów, a także analizuje ich potencjał w zakresie budowania marki (np. w obszarze maksymalizacji zasięgu, ekspozycji marki, tworzenia jej widoczności, przyciągania uwagi konsumentów, obudowywania marki w silne emocjonalnie znaczenia). Do analizy potencjalnego oddziaływania praktycznych żartów wykorzystano koncepcje z teorii humoru oraz dane wiązane ze zdobycia zrozumienia zasady i możliwości stosowania psikusów jako ukrytych reklam w ramach kampanii marketingowej online.

**PRANKVERTISING – PSIKUS JAKO NOWA FORMA REKLAMY MARKI W INTERNECIE**

Praktyczny żart (tzw. psikus) stanowi formę humoru negatywnego; jest to zabawne i wcześniej zaplanowane działanie, przedsięwzięcie czy zdarzenie, które ma na celu rozmieszczenie publiczności, ale również wyśmieianie ofiary (bohatera) żartu. Mimo że zarówno pozytywny, jak i negatywny humor od lat jest dosyć powszechnie wykorzystywany taktyką w reklamie, praktyczne żarty związane są z stosunkowo nowym zjawiskiem reklamowym. Rozwój Internetu i mediów społecznościowych stworzył szerokie możliwości stosowania psikusów jako ukrytych reklam wbudowanych w strategie komunikacji marketingowej online. Coraz więcej firm wykorzystuje tę formę żartu w swoich działaniach komunikacyjnych i postrzega ją jako innowacyjne rozwiązanie pozwalające na zaangażowanie konsumentów w Internecie. Ze względu na brak badań oraz analiz naukowych poświęconych tej tematyce, niniejszy artykuł formułuje teoretyczne i praktyczne ramy dla psikusów, a także analizuje ich potencjał w zakresie budowania marki (np. w obszarze maksymalizacji zasięgu, ekspozycji marki, tworzenia jej widoczności, przyciągania uwagi konsumentów, obudowywania marki w silne emocjonalnie znaczenia). Do analizy potencjalnego oddziaływania praktycznych żartów wykorzystano koncepcje z teorii humoru oraz dane wiązane ze zdobycia zrozumienia zasady i możliwości stosowania psikusów jako ukrytych reklam w ramach kampanii marketingowej online.
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