How much do coulombic interactions stabilize a mesophase? Ion pair and non-ionic binary isosteric derivatives of monocarboranates and carboranes†
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Replacement of the B− atom in the monocarboranate anion, [110]− or [112]−, and the N+ atom in the pyridinium cation [Pyr] of a liquid crystalline ion pair with C atoms leads to an isoelectronic and isosteric non-ionic binary liquid crystalline mixture of carborane [210]− or [212]− and benzene ([Ph]−) derivatives lacking coulombic interactions. A comparison of mesogenic properties of ion pairs, [110]−[Pyr]+ and [112]−[Pyr]+, with their analogous non-ionic mixtures, [210]−[Ph]+ and [212]−[Ph]+, shows a 181 K higher clearing temperature, Tc, for the ion pair. This corresponds to a DFT-calculated difference in association energy ΔΔH = 24.5 kcal mol−1 in a typical dielectric medium (ε = 2.5). Pure compounds and binary mixtures were characterized using thermal, optical, and XRD methods.

Due to the high delocalization of the charge and the resulting weak nucleophilicity of the boron cluster anions,19 the ion interactions in such ILC, e.g. 1−[Pyr]+ (Fig. 1), are non-specific and mainly electrostatic. Such ion pairs typically form SmA and soft crystalline phases, although some exhibit a nematic phase, e.g. azo derivative 1[12]b−[Pyr]+ (Fig. 1).16


Here, we report the synthesis and detailed thermal, optical and structural (XRD) analysis of ion pairs 1[10]−−[Pyr]+ and 1[12]−−[Pyr]+ as well as their electrically neutral analogues, 2 and [Ph]+. We emphasize the comparison of the mesogenic behaviour of ion pairs 1−[Pyr]+ with the isosteric, non-ionic equimolar mixtures 2−[Ph]+. The experimental results are supported with DFT modelling of the association of ions and non-ionic analogues in a dielectric medium.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Esters 1c and 2c were obtained by reaction of phenol 3c (ref. 15) with appropriate acid chlorides which were prepared from carboxylic acids 4[10],15 4[12],16 5[10] (ref. 20) and 5[12] (Method A, Scheme 1). Without isolation, ion pairs 1−[NEt4]+, obtained from acid 4[10]−[NEt4]+ (ref. 15) and 4[12]−[NEt4]+,16 were converted to 1c−[Pyr]+ by cation exchange with [Pyr]+Br− as described before for esters 1a−[Pyr]+ and 1b−[Pyr]+.15,18 Esters 2a and 2b were prepared directly from phenols 3a (ref. 16) and 3b,16...
respectively, and carboxylic acid 5 in the presence of DCC (Method B).

12-Hexyl-p-carborane-1-carboxylic acid ([5][12]) was obtained by alkylation followed by carboxylation of p-carborane (Scheme 2) as described before for the pentyl analogue.21

Pyridinium bromides [Pyr][Br] and [Pyr]c–Br were prepared by N-alkylation of 4-(trans-4-pentylcyclohexylmethoxy)-pyridine (6) with appropriate alkyl bromide in MeCN following the procedure described for [Pyr]a–Br (Scheme 3).15

The substituted pyridine 6 was obtained from 4-chloropyridine and trans-4-pentylcyclohexylmethanol22 (7) in the presence of NaH in DMSO following a general method.23

Scheme 2 Synthesis of carboxylic acid 5[12]. Reagents and conditions: (i) (1) BuLi 1 eq, THF −80 °C → rt → −80 °C; (2) C₆H₄Br 1 eq, 0 °C; (3) BuLi 1 eq, −80 °C → 0 °C; (4) CO₂, (5) HCl.

The cyclohexylmethyl phenyl ethers [Ph] were obtained by alkylation of appropriate 4-alkylphenol ([8][n]) with heptyl tosylate (for [Ph]a) or trans-4-pentylcyclohexylmethyl tosylate23 9 for [Ph]b and [Ph]c (Scheme 4). Phenol 8[11] was obtained by alkylation of 4-bromophenol with C₁₁H₂₃MgBr following a general procedure.24

Thermal analysis
Transition temperatures and enthalpies of the newly prepared compounds were determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and results are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Single component materials. All six non-ionic carborane derivatives 2 form a nematic phase (Table 1), which is consistent with nematogenic behavior of their benzene analogues. The three-ring compounds 2a and 2b exhibit a wide temperature range enantiotropic phase with clearing temperatures around 200 °C. A comparison of the carborane derivatives with their benzene analogues demonstrates that the phase stability follows the order [10] < [12] < [Ph], which is in agreement with our previous findings.16,23 The two-ring mesogens, 2c, exhibit monotropic phases with significantly lower N-I transition temperatures.

The phenyl ether [Ph]c is a new member of a known homologous series25 and it exhibits a mesophase identified by XRD analysis as a soft crystalline B phase (Cr 32 SmBcryst 42 I, Fig. 2a).

DSC, POM, and XRD26 analyses revealed that pyridinium bromide [Pyr]c–Br exhibits a SmA phase and another more ordered unidentified phase. Unfortunately, the salt decomposes at about 165 °C. The shorter analogue, [Pyr]b–Br, is not mesogenic.

Two-component materials. Ion pairs 1a–[Pyr]a and 1b–[Pyr]a were reported before, and they display SmA and nematic phases.16 Previous results demonstrated that ion pairs 1c–[Pyr]a, in which the total number of rings is 3, melt about 110 °C and do not form liquid crystalline phases.16 Inclusion of the cyclohexyl ring in the structure of the pyridinium cation increased the
melting temperature of ion pair 1[12]c-[Pyr]b and induced an enantiotropic soft crystalline phase E (Table 2). Extension of the butyl chain in the [Pyr]b cation to undecyl in [Pyr]c resulted in the appearance of an enantiotropic SmA phase above the E phase in both ion pairs, 1[10]c-[Pyr]c and 1[12]c-[Pyr]c, with a clearing temperature of about 210 °C (e.g. Fig. 3 and 4). DSC analysis of 1[12]c-[Pyr]c also revealed another soft-crystalline phase X below the E phase.

These findings are in agreement with our general observations that a total of 4 rings in the ion structures are necessary for induction of mesogenic behavior in ILC of the general structure 1.16,18 For instance, while 1c-[Pyr]a, a 3-ring ionic compound, does not exhibit mesogenic behavior, 4-ring ion pairs 1a-[Pyr]a and 1b-[Pyr]a do exhibit enantiotropic SmA and N phases.18

For comparison purposes, equimolar mixtures of non-ionic analogues of 1-[Pyr] were investigated. Initial studies of 2a-[Ph]a and 2b-[Ph]a demonstrated phase separation upon cooling from the isotropic phase. It was considered that the significant differences in the molecular length and mesogenic behavior of the two components leads to their incompatibility. Therefore, carboranes 2c and phenyl ether [Ph]c were considered, since the total length of the alkyl chains in each compound is 16 atoms.

POM analysis of equimolar mixtures 2[10]c-[Ph]c and 2[12]c-[Ph]c demonstrated ideal miscibility, and the formation of a monotropic nematic phase with clearing temperatures, T_N, of 26 °C and 29 °C, respectively (Table 2). A comparison of these transition temperatures with those obtained for the analogous ion pair 1c-[Pyr]c shows a difference in the clearing temperature of 181 K. Since the ion-pair and the non-ionic binary mixture differ only by a molecular charge, the increase in the clearing temperature can be attributed solely to the strong coulombic interactions present in the ion pair and absent in the non-ionic binary mixture.

XRD data
The formation of the SmA phase by ion pairs 1[10]c-[Pyr]c and 1[12]c-[Pyr]c was confirmed by XRD measurements. Diffractograms obtained for the high temperature mesophase in each material showed a series of sharp commensurate reflections
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**Table 1** Transition temperatures for 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R1</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-CH2CH2–</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>114 ( ^b )</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[Ph]</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[Pyr]</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[Ph]</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[Pyr]</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[Ph]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(‘) 36</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>[Pyr]</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>(‘) 45</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Cr-crystal, N-nematic, I-isotropic. Temperatures obtained on heating at 5 K min\(^{-1} \). Enthalpies are listed in the ESL. \( ^b \) Cr, 104 Cr2. \( ^{\prime} \) Ref. 26.

\( ^{\prime} \) Ref. 17. \( ^{\prime} \) Cr, 41 Cr2.

**Table 2** Transition temperatures for binary systems 1–[Pyr] and 2–[Pyr]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>SmA</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1[10]c-[Pyr]c</td>
<td>B'</td>
<td>N'</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2[10]c-[Ph]c</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1[12]c-[Pyr]c</td>
<td>B'</td>
<td>N'</td>
<td>148 ( ^b )</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2[12]c-[Ph]c</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1[10]c-[Pyr]a</td>
<td>B'</td>
<td>N'</td>
<td>107 ( ^d )</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1[12]c-[Pyr]a</td>
<td>B'</td>
<td>N'</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1[12]c-[Pyr]b</td>
<td>B'</td>
<td>N'</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>( ^{\prime} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Cr-crystal, SmA-smectic A, E-soft crystalline phase, N-nematic, I-isotropic. Temperatures obtained on heating at 5 K min\(^{-1} \). Enthalpies are listed in the ESL. For definition of substituents R see Fig. 1. \( ^b \) Cr, 85 Cr2. \( ^{\prime} \) Ref. 16. \( ^{\prime} \) Cr, 64 Cr2 101 × 107.

**Scheme 4** Synthesis of phenyl ethers [Ph]. Reagents and conditions: \( ^{(i)} \) K2CO3, MeCN, cat Aliquat, reflux.
consistent with a lamellar structure (Fig. 5). Considering the calculated molecular length of about 33.8 Å for both anions 1[10]c and 1[12]c (including van der Waals radius for the terminal H atoms) the measured layer spacing of about 27 Å indicates approximately 20% of interdigitation and/or imperfect orientational order of molecular long axes and molecular folding in both ion-pairs.

The wide-angle region of the diffractograms shows an unsymmetric broad halo, which can be deconvoluted into two signals with the maxima about 4.7 Å and 5.1 Å for 1[10]c-[Pyr]c, and 4.8 Å and 5.3 Å for 1[12]c-[Pyr]c. The diffused signals correlate with the mean distance between the alkyl chains (former) and the separation between boron clusters (latter).

XRD patterns obtained for oriented samples of 1[10]c-[Pyr]c and 1[12]c-[Pyr]c in the phase formed below the SmA phase could be indexed as an E phase (Table 3 and Fig. 6). However, signals from the full size of the in-plane unit cell were also visible, which are typically forbidden for E phase. Signals (100) and (010) are observed due to the binary nature of the ionic materials. This causes the molecule in the center of the unit cell to be different than those in the corners resulting in a unit cell that is not centered. There is also a slight difference between patterns for 1[10]c-[Pyr]c and 1[12]c-[Pyr]c. The pattern for the 12-vertex analogue indicates a regular ABA type stacking of the smectic layers, which leads to a doubling of the unit cell dimension along layer normal (thus doubling of the Miller index l, see Fig 6b). The structure of the E phase formed by ion pair 1[12]c-[Pyr]b is similar to that of 1[10]c-[Pyr]c.

XRD analysis of the mesophase formed by phenyl ether [Ph]c revealed a soft crystalline B phase (SmBcryst), which is consistent with a relatively large enthalpy of the isotropic transition (12.7 kJ mol⁻¹) and the optical texture (Fig. 2). The measured layer spacing is 31.2 Å which correlates well with the calculated molecular length of 32.8 Å (including van der Waals radius for H atoms).

**Molecular modelling**

The energy of coulombic interactions in ion pairs 1c-[Pyr]c was assessed computationally by comparison of the association energy for 1[12]c-[Pyr]c and 2[12]c-[Ph]c for an arbitrary chosen relative orientation of the molecules in the pair. The calculations were conducted using the M06-2x functional, which reasonably well reproduces non-covalent interactions.

Analysis of fully optimized individual structures revealed a nearly linear anion 1[12]c and a bent cation [Pyr]c (Fig. 7). The hexyl chain and the carbonyl group in the former are staggered relative to the [closo-1-CB₁₁] cage. The two carbonyl groups are nearly co-planar with the benzene ring rendering the “organic” half of the molecule planar (Fig. 7a). The angle between the planes of the terminal alkyl chains is 15°, and the molecular length (L, as the H···H distance) is 31.6 Å. The carborane analogue 2[12]c has a nearly identical molecular length (L = 31.3 Å). The main difference between 1[12]c and 2[12]c is that the carbonyl group nearly eclipses the B···H bond in the latter, which result in the wider interplanar angle of 27°.

The undecyl chain in the pyridinium cation [Pyr]c is orthogonal to the ring plane, which results in a bent molecular structure as shown in Fig. 7b. The interplanar angle is 78° and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Unit cell parameters for E phase in 1c-[Pyr]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1[12]c-[Pyr]c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 The optical textures of (a) SmA phase (205 °C) and (b) E phase (175 °C) obtained for 1[12]c-[Pyr]c on cooling from the isotropic phase in the same region of the sample.

Fig. 5 Integrated XRD patterns taken in SmA phase of 1[10]c-[Pyr]c (T = 175 °C, upper red curve) and 1[12]c-[Pyr]c (T = 205 °C, lower black curve). Upper curve is vertically shifted for clarity of presentation.

Fig. 6 2D XRD patterns for E phase of (a) 1[10]c-[Pyr]c at 150 °C and (b) 1[12]c-[Pyr]c at 180 °C. Note the presence of equatorial signals (100) and (010) in (a) and their absence in (b) indicating regular ABA layer stacking for 1[12]c-[Pyr]c.
the H⋯H separation $L$ of 30.3 Å, which is close to the length of anion 1[12]c. Molecular dimensions of the benzene analogue [Ph]c are nearly the same with the interplanar angle of 81° and $L = 30.2$ Å.

Full geometry optimization of 2[12]c and [Ph]c in vacuum gave a tight molecular pair 2[12]c–[Ph]c, which served as the starting point for geometry optimization of ion pair 1[12]c–[Pyr]c. The resulting close-contact structure is shown in Fig. 7c. A comparison of energies of the individual components and that of the binary system gave the enthalpy of association, $\Delta H_a$, after BSSE correction. For the non-ionic pair the association is calculated to be mildly exothermic ($\Delta H_a = -4.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$), while for the ion pair, 1[12]c–[Pyr]c, the association enthalpy is dramatically larger $\Delta H_a = -64.3.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ in vacuum. Placing molecules at low strength dielectric medium ($\epsilon = 2.5$), typical for weakly polar liquid crystals, had little effect on the association enthalpy of 2[12]c–[Ph]c ($\Delta H_a = -3.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). In contrast, the dielectric medium significantly reduced the exotherm of formation of ion pair 1[12]c–[Pyr]c ($\Delta H_a = -27.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). As a result, the difference in association energy, $\Delta \Delta H_a$, of the non-polar pair and ion pair is 24.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ in a weakly dielectric medium. Increasing $\epsilon$ to 10.0, which is reasonable for an ionic liquid, the $\Delta \Delta H_a$ value for the two pairs falls to 7.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Thus, the calculated significant difference in association enthalpy in the two model binary systems is consistent with the observed 181 K higher thermal stability of the mesophase in ILC than in the non-ionic binary mixture. Also, the observed tendency for the formation of high-temperature lamellar phases (SmA and E) by the ion pair instead of a nematic phase is a consequence of the tight molecular arrangement dictated by significant coulombic interactions.

Conclusions

For the first time, we have assessed experimentally the impact of coulombic interactions on mesophase stability by comparison of ionic (1c–[Pyr]c) and isosteric non-ionic (2c–[Ph]c) systems in the absence of other factors. Thus, replacement of appropriate carbon atoms in an equimolar binary mixture with B- and N- fragments leads to an isosteric and iso-electronic ion pair. Results show that the difference in the clearing temperature between the two binary systems is 181 K, which corresponds to a difference in association enthalpy of 7 kcal in appropriate dielectric media. The boron cluster size in the ionic material appears to have little effect on the magnitude of this phenomenon. It is possible, however, that the size of the ions is the determining factor. A comparison was demonstrated for components of approximately equal length due to their best compatibility of the electrically neutral compounds (i.e. formation of a homogeneous nematic phase).

The presented concept of isosteric and iso-electronic replacement of C with B, N is applicable to other molecular systems and provides unique experimental models to support theoretical considerations of the liquid crystalline state.

Computational details

Quantum-mechanical calculations were carried out using a Gaussian 09 suite of programs. Geometry optimizations for unconstrained conformers of appropriate molecules and ions with the most extended molecular shapes were performed at the M06-2x/3-21G* level of theory using default convergence limits. The alkoxyl group was set in all-trans conformation maintaining co-planarity with the aromatic ring in the input structure. The aromatic ring and the carboxyl group were staggered with respect to the carborane cage as found experimentally and computationally in related structures. The orientation of the substituents on the cyclohexane ring was set according to results of conformational analysis for model cyclohexane derivatives. Optimized structures of non-polar molecules served as starting points for optimization of the ionic analogues after replacing the carbon atoms with B or N.

For calculations of binary system 2[12]c–[Ph]c, molecules of 2[12]c and [Ph]c at equilibrium geometry (M06-2x/3-21G*) were set parallel to each other at a 4 Å distance, and the geometry of the pair was minimized. The resulting energy was corrected for basis set superposition error (BSSE) by running single point calculations (M06-2x/3-21G*/M06-2x/3-21G*) at the equilibrium geometry with the keyword COUNTERPOISE = 2,3 and compared to that of isolated molecular components. The optimized geometry of non-polar pair 2[12]c–[Ph]c served as the starting point for calculations involving ion pair 1[12]c–[Pyr]c, after replacement of the two carbon atoms with B and N. Conformational search was not attempted. For each pair, the association energy was calculated as a difference between the energy of the molecular pair and isolated molecules ($\Delta H_a = H_{\text{pair}} - (H_{\text{cat}} + H_{\text{an}})$).

Thermodynamic parameters were requested with the FREQ keyword. The PCM model was implemented using the SCRF (Solvent = Generic, Read) and specified “epsnf = 2.25” and “eps = 2.5” or “eps = 10” and the total energies were obtained in single point calculations with the same method.
Experimental part

General

NMR spectra were obtained at 128 MHz (11B) and 400 MHz (1H) in CDCl3 or CD3CN. Chemical shifts were referenced to the solvent (1H) or to an external sample of B(OH)3 in MeOH (11B, δ = 18.1 ppm). Optical microscopy and phase identification were performed using polarized optical microscopy (POM) and a hot stage. Thermal analysis was obtained using a DSC with samples of about 0.5–1.0 mg and a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ under a flow of nitrogen gas.

General procedure for the preparation of 1-[Pyr]

To a solution of carboxylic acid 4[12]–[NEt₄] or 4[10]–[NMe₄] (0.1 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added oxalyl chloride (5 eq) and a catalytic amount of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min, and the mixture was evaporated to complete dryness. Dry CH₂Cl₂ was added (3 mL) followed by phenol 3 (0.1 mmol) and Et₃N (0.2 mmol), and the reaction was stirred overnight. Organic products were extracted (CH₂Cl₂), the organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was passed through a silica gel plug (MeCN/CH₂Cl₂, 1:5) giving crude product as either the [NMe₄] or [NEt₄] salt. N-Alkyl-4-alkoxypyridinium bromide ([Pyr]Br) was added to a solution of the crude ester 1[10]–[NMe₄] or 1[12]–[NEt₄] (1 eq) in CH₂Cl₂ upon which a white precipitate formed. Water was added, and the biphasic system was stirred overnight. The CH₂Cl₂ layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with additional CH₂Cl₂. The CH₂Cl₂ layers were combined, washed with H₂O, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified through a silica gel plug (CH₂Cl₂) and recrystallized from ETOH, ETOH/EOAc and hexane/EOAc mixtures providing pure ion pair.

1[12]–[Pyr]a. Obtained in 68% yield as a white solid: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.50–2.50 (m, 10H), 0.56–0.63 (m, 2H), 0.84 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.888 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.888 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.00–1.13 (m, 2H), 1.14–1.44 (m, 32H), 1.73 (qint, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.76–1.93 (m, 6H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (s, 4H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.32 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H). Anal. calc for C₄₄H₅₄B₁₀O₂: C, 64.29; H, 9.81; N, 1.70. Found: C, 64.40; H, 9.71; N, 1.80%.

1[10]–[Pyr]b. Obtained in 86% yield as a white solid: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.50–2.50 (m, 8H), 0.84–0.94 (m, 12H), 0.98–1.13 (m, 4H), 1.15–1.45 (m, 42H), 1.53–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.78–2.05 (m, 10H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). Anal. calc for C₃₁H₅₆B₁₀O₂: C, 68.32; H, 10.34; N, 1.56. Found: C, 68.60; H, 10.19; N, 1.47%.

General procedure for preparation of esters 2

Method A. To a solution of carboxylic acid 5 (0.1 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added oxalyl chloride (5 eq) and a catalytic amount of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min, and the mixture was evaporated to complete dryness. Dry CH₂Cl₂ was added (3 mL) followed by appropriate phenol 3 (ref. 16) (0.1 mmol) and Et₃N (0.2 mmol), and the mixture was stirred overnight. Organic products were extracted (CH₂Cl₂), the organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was passed through a silica gel plug (hexane/CH₂Cl₂, 4:1) giving crude ester 2, which was purified further by recrystallization.

Method B. A solution of carboxylic acid 5 (0.1 mmol) and appropriate phenol 3 (ref. 16) (0.11 mmol) was treated with N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC, 31 mg, 0.15 mmol) and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then washed with 10% HCl, the CH₂Cl₂ layer separated, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The crude material was passed through a silica gel plug (CH₂Cl₂) giving crude ester 2, which was purified further by recrystallization.

2[10]a. Obtained as a white solid from acid 5[10] according to Method B and recrystallized from ETOH: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.00–1.07 (m, 2H), 1.08–1.42 (m, 30H), 1.5–3.5 (m, 10H), 1.60–1.64 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.49 (m, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H); 1¹¹B NMR δ = –13.9 (5B); –12.7 (5B). Anal. calc for C₁₁₃H₁₃₂B₂O₂: C, 65.45; H, 9.92. Found: C, 65.74; H, 9.84%.

2[10]b. Obtained as an orange solid from acid 5[10] according to Method B and recrystallized from iso-octane (3×) and then from EtOH (2×) at –4°C: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.92 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.32–1.45 (m, 8H), 1.45–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.83 (qint, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.97 (qint, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.24 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = –10.2. Anal. calc for C₁₃₇H₂₇₂B₂O₄N₂: C, 61.29; H, 8.00; N, 5.29. Found: C, 61.39; H, 7.92; N, 5.30%.

2[12]b. Obtained as an orange solid from acid 5[12] according to Method B and recrystallized from iso-octane (3×) and then from EtOH (2×): 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.13–1.26 (m, 8H), 1.33–1.38 (m, 4H), 1.45–1.52 (2H), 1.5–3.5 (m, 10H), 1.61–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.82 (qint, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.88
(d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd for C$_{24}$H$_{34}$Br$_{10}$O$_2$N$_2$: C, 58.67; H, 8.02; N, 5.07. Found: C, 58.94; H, 7.97; N, 5.03%.

2[10]c. Obtained in 90% yield as a white solid from acid 5[10] according to Method A and recrystallized from MeCN and EtOH–AcOEt mixture: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 0.89 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.24–1.45 (m, 14H), 1.49–1.58 (m, 2H), 1.5–1.55 (m, 8H), 1.76 (quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.90–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.23 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd for C$_{24}$H$_{34}$Br$_{10}$O$_2$: C, 59.92; H, 8.80. Found: C, 60.15; H, 8.74%.

2[12]c. Obtained in 93% yield as a white solid from acid 5[12] according to Method A, and recrystallized from MeCN and EtOH–AcOEt mixture: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 0.85 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.07–1.44 (m, 18H), 1.5–1.55 (m, 10H), 1.58–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.72 (quint, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd for C$_{31}$H$_{50}$O: C, 77.11; H, 10.41; N, 5.36. Found: C, 78.15; H, 10.24; N, 5.41%.

1-Butyl-4-(trans-4-pentylcyclohexylmethyl)pyridinium bromide ([Pyr][Br]). A solution of pyridine 6 (300 mg, 1.15 mmol) and 1-bromobutane (1.02 mL, 9.4 mmol) in dry MeCN (10 mL) was refluxed for 15 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was passed through a silica gel plug (MeCN/CH$_2$Cl$_2$) yielding 620 mg (62% yield) of pyridinium salt [Pyr][Br]– which was recrystallized from EtOH to give a white solid: DSC: Cr$_1$ 53 Cr$_2$ 80 107 I; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 0.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.95–1.14 (m, 13H), 1.15–1.34 (m, 10H), 1.40 (sext, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.75–1.89 (m, 5H), 1.95 (quint, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 9.16 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{36}$BrNO: C, 63.31; H, 9.11; N, 3.52. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{26}$BrNO•0.5H$_2$O: C, 61.91; H, 9.15; N, 3.44. Found: C, 62.14; H, 9.02; N, 3.50%.

4-(trans-4-Pentylcyclohexylmethyl)-1-undecyl-pyridinium bromide ([Pyr][Br]). It was prepared in an analogous way to the synthesis of [Pyr][Br]– using 6 and undecyl bromide and isolated as a white solid. The salt was further purified by crystallization (3 ×) from EtOH containing a few drops of hexane giving a white solid: DSC: Cr$_1$ 75 Sm$_1$ 146 SmA$_1$ 163 dec. 1; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 0.87 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.95–1.15 (m, 3H), 1.16–1.39 (m, 26H), 1.76–1.89 (m, 5H), 1.96 (quint, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 9.09 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{54}$BrNO: C, 67.72; H, 10.15; N, 2.82. Anal. calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{54}$BrNO•H$_2$O: C, 65.35; H, 10.18; N, 2.72. Found: C, 65.62; H, 10.18; N, 2.75%.

**Note added after first publication**

This article replaces the version published on 15th October 2014, which contained errors in the formulae of the monocarboxylates in the Introduction section.
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